

- 7 -

REMARKS

Applicants have canceled claims 2 and 4 and have included their limitations into independent claim 1. Applicants have also canceled claims 17 and 19 and included their limitations into independent claim 16.

Claims 1 and 16 have also been amended by substituting the term "component" for the term "compound" in the next to the last line for each claim. There is antecedent basis for the term "component", but not "compound".

Claims 29 and 30 have been amended so that they now clearly state they are dependent on method claims and not composition claims. No new matter has been added by any of these amendments.

Claims 1,3,5-7, 14, 16, 18, 20-22 and 29 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (USP 6,312,759).

The Examiner states that Yamada et al. teaches cleaning compositions containing fluorinated hydrocarbons, including 1,1,2,3,3,4-heptafluorocyclopentane with other hydrocarbons and alcohols. The Examiner continues by stating that Yamada et al. does not teach the use of the instantly claimed fluorinated hydrocarbons with 1-bromopropane.

The Examiner has also indicated that subject matter would be allowable if the second component was limited to 1-bromopropane.

By way of this communication applicants have amended independent claims 1 and 16 so that the second component must always be 1-bromopropane.


964499

- 8 -

Therefore, it is requested that this rejection be withdrawn and this application be passed to allowance as now claimed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 08/22/2005

By: 
Henry E. Naylor
PTO Reg. No. 27,461
Kean, Miller, Hawthorne,
D'Armond, McCowan & Jarman LLP
P. O. Box 3513
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-3513
Telephone: (225) 387-0999
Fax: (225) 388-9133

964499